Exhibit 20

Subject: Re: Permit 2-02, Mark Sanders (Westpoint Marina)

Date: Tuesday, August 31, 2004 11:52 AM **From:** Andrea Gaut <andreag@bcdc.ca.gov>

To: Brad McCrea <brack

Steve Steve

McAdam <stevem@bcdc.ca.gov>, Bob Batha <bobb@bcdc.ca.gov>

Cc: Adrienne Klien <adriennek@bcdc.ca.gov>

I have cc'ed Adrienne. I believe this may be a monitoring issue? Or whose responsibility is this?

On 8/30/04 5:02 PM, "Brad McCrea" <bradm@bcdc.ca.gov> wrote:

```
> It is my experience that general contractors tend to take site visits and
> reviews seriously. At some point along the way, we should reach out and
> contact the general contractor and educate him or her about what stages of
> construction are very important to us.
> On 8/30/04 4:39 PM, "Andrea Gaut" <andreag@bcdc.ca.gov> wrote:
>> Yes, I would suggest prior to the actual pouring of the foundation. I do not
>> trust them to do it after the foundation is poured. As I have said many
>> times, I don't trust this guy. But if we allow this, we are going to have to
>> watch him like crazy to see what progress is being made and ensure they have
>> not poured the foundation or we could have another Todd Dworman.
>>
>> Jon, I should be able to tell you whether a particular area is floating or
>> pile-supported. I bet I know the area you have questions about.
>> Andrea
>>
>> On 8/30/04 3:56 PM, "Jon Smith" <jons@bcdc.ca.gov> wrote:
>>
>>> I assume that this means we can eliminate prior to any excavation. Howe
>>> about checking when stakes or other markers showing where foundations will
>>> go but prior to actual pouring of foundations?
>>>
>>> Jon
>>>
>>>
>>> On 8/30/04 3:52 PM, "Steve McAdam" <stevem@bcdc.ca.gov> wrote:
>>>
>>>> We typically require the pa guarantee prior to construction because once
>>>> foundations have been put in, it is much harder to deal with violations
>>>> resulting from work occurring in pa dedicated areas. In some cases,
>>>> particularly when new land is being created, we have let permittees prepare
>>>> the dedication document after the work occurs. I think that we could allow
>>>> them to do the filling, but not the foundation work. The conceptual plans
>>>> clearly show where we required public access. Andrea, what do you think?
>>>>
>>> .On 8/30/04 3:27 PM, "Jon Smith" <jons@bcdc.ca.gov> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Andrea, Bob, Brad, and Steve,
        I am working on the public access agreement for Permit No.2-02, Mark
```

>>>> Sanders, Westpoint Marina. I just finished speaking with his attorney,

>>>> Kent

>>>>> Mitchell submitted a draft legal description on March 1, to which I >>>> responded on March 5. Mitchell did not respond thereafter. >>>>> >>>> My response pointed out that the legal description did not include all >>>> of the areas required for public access. >>>> Mitchell stated that the project site is still essentially a big hole. >>>>> >>>> The fill that was excavated to create the basin ("the big hole") has been >>>> moved upland and will eventually constitute the base material on which the >>>> buildings will be constructed. The material must dry out and be >>>> sufficiently >>>> strong before construction can begin. It may not be until next May, 2005, >>>> when this work can begin. Also, the marina itself has not been built. Mitchell states that it will be difficult to know precisely where the >>>> buildings and therefore exactly where the public access will be located, >>>> at >>>> least for purposes of surveying and describing the areas legally, until >>>> building foundations have been constructed. He would prefer not having to >>>> submit a public access agreement with legal descriptions until this stage >>>> complete. >>>>> Additionally, he wanted to know if any of the floating docks are >>>> required for public access. Exhibit A to the permit shows the piers >>>> associated with the marina slips as not being required for public access. >>>> However, there is a single area that might be a floating dock that is >>>> required for public access. Andrea and Brad, can you tell me if I show you >>>> the exhibit whether it is floating or fixed? >>>>> In either case, can we agree to amend the permit to require the >>>> >>>> submittal of a public access agreement at some later stage of the project, >>>> such as prior to occupancy, or prior to installation of building >>>> foundations, rather than the current requirement of prior to the >>>> commencement of any grading or construction on phase one of the project? >>>> Should we meet to discuss this? I would like to get back to Sanders >>>> fairly soon. Should we wait until Bob returns from vacation in a week? >>>> >>>> >>>> >>>>> Jon

>>>> Mitchell.